On the stability of redundancy models

Elene Anton Based on joint work with: U. Ayesta, M. Jonckheere and I.M. Verloop

IRIT-CNRS and **ENSEEIHT**

December 3, 2018

Load-balancing strategies:



Load-balancing strategies:



Redundancy-d: A job is dispatched into several servers.



Redundancy-d: A job is dispatched into several servers.



Redundancy-d: A job is dispatched into several servers.



Exploit variability in the workload in different queues !

- Positive aspect: Exploits variability in the workload.
- Negative aspect: There is additional workload added to the system.

Theorem

Assume FCFS service policy and all the copies of a job are i.i.d. The system is stable $\iff \lambda < \mu K$.

[Gardener et al.] ¹

¹Kristen Gardner, Samuel Zbarsky, Sherwin Doroudi, Mor Harchol-Balter, Esa Hyytiä, and Alan Scheller-Wolf. 2016. Queueing with redundant requests: exact analysis. Queueing Systems 83, 3-4 (2016), 227–259

Determine how the stability condition is impacted by:

• The scheduling policy implemented in the K servers.

Determine how the stability condition is impacted by:

- The scheduling policy implemented in the *K* servers.
- The possible correlation between the *d* copies of the same job.

Outline

1 Model description

2 I.i.d copies

- PS service policy
- ROS service policy
- Priority policy

3 Identical copies

- PS service policy
- FCFS service policy
- ROS service policy

4 Numerical results

5 Conclusions

Model description



- *K* servers with capacity 1.
- Poisson arrivals with rate λ .
- Exponential service times with parameter μ .

Model description



- Each arrival chooses d servers at random, s_1, \ldots, s_d .
- This job is said to be of type $c = \{s_1, \ldots, s_d\}$.
- The set of types: $\mathcal{C} := \{ c = \{ s_1, \dots, s_d \} \subset S : s_i \neq s_j \ \forall i \neq j \} \text{ and } |\mathcal{C}| = \binom{\kappa}{d}.$
- Arrivals of type c at rate $\lambda_c = \frac{\lambda}{\binom{K}{d}}$.

Model description



- Arrival rate to a server s is $\frac{d}{K}\lambda$.
- Departure in server *s* due to:
 - Local copy has completed service.
 - A copy of a job in the local queue has completed service in an other server.

• The number of type-c jobs at time t is given by $N_c(t)$ and

$$ec{N}(t) = (N_1(t), \dots, N_{|\mathcal{C}|}(t)) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^K$$

• The number of type-c jobs at time t is given by $N_c(t)$ and

$$ec{\mathsf{N}}(t) = (\mathsf{N}_1(t), \dots, \mathsf{N}_{|\mathcal{C}|}(t)) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathsf{K}}$$

• The number of copies in server s at time t is given by $M_s(t) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(s)} N_c(t)$ and

$$ec{M}(t) = (M_1(t), \dots, M_{\mathcal{K}}(t)) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{K}}$$

Service policies we consider:

- PS (Processor Sharing): service is equally shared among the copies in a server.
- FCFS: copies are served in order of arrival.
- ROS (Random Order of Service): An empty server picks a copy to serve at random.
- Priority policy: In each server, a priority law is fixed among the types it can serve.

We consider copies of a job to be:

- i.i.d copies.
- **identical copies:** All *d* copies of a job are identical replicas and have the same service time.

Table: Summary of stability conditions

	PS	FCFS	ROS	Priority policy
i.i.d	$\lambda < \mu K$	$\lambda < \mu K$	$\lambda < \mu K$	$\lambda << \mu K$
i.c.	$\lambda < \mu \frac{K}{d}$	$\lambda < ilde{\mu}$	$\lambda < \mu K$	-
		$(ilde{\mu} < \mu(K - (d-1)))$		

PS service policy with iid

٠

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



• I.i.d copies \implies the departure rate of a type-*c* job is

$$\sum_{s\in c}\frac{\mu}{M_s(t)}$$

Theorem

Assume PS service policy and copies of a job are i.i.d. The system is stable $\iff \lambda < \mu K$.

Proof:

• Show that fluid limit satisfies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m_{\max}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda \frac{d}{K} - \mu \left(\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(s)} \sum_{l \in S(c)} \frac{n_c}{m_l} \right) \leq \lambda \frac{d}{K} - \mu d$$

Theorem

Assume ROS service policy and copies of a job are i.i.d. The system is stable $\iff \lambda < \mu K$.

Proof:

• Show that fluid limit satisfies $\frac{\mathrm{d}m_{\max}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq \lambda \frac{d}{K} - \mu d$

Priority policy with K=3 servers and d=2 copies

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C} &= \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}.\\ \text{Server 1: FCFS, Server 2: } \{1,2\} \preceq \{2,3\}, \text{Server 3: } \{1,3\} \preceq \{2,3\}. \end{split}$$



Priority policy with K=3 servers and d=2 copies

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C} &= \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}.\\ \text{Server 1: FCFS, Server 2: } \{1,2\} \preceq \{2,3\}, \text{Server 3: } \{1,3\} \preceq \{2,3\}. \end{split}$$



The system can be unstable when $\lambda < \mu K$.

Outline

1 Model description

2 I.i.d copies

- PS service policy
- ROS service policy
- Priority policy

3 Identical copies

- PS service policy
- FCFS service policy
- ROS service policy

4 Numerical results

5 Conclusions

• IID copies: $\lambda < \mu K$.

- IID copies: $\lambda < \mu K$.
 - $d = 1 \Longrightarrow K$ homogeneous servers with rate μ .
 - $d = K \implies$ single server with rate μK .

- IID copies: $\lambda < \mu K$.
 - $d = 1 \Longrightarrow K$ homogeneous servers with rate μ .
 - $d = K \implies$ single server with rate μK .
- Identical copies: All copies of a job are exact replicas with the same service time.

• IID copies: $\lambda < \mu K$.

• $d = 1 \Longrightarrow K$ homogeneous servers with rate μ .

• $d = K \implies$ single server with rate μK .

- Identical copies: All copies of a job are exact replicas with the same service time.
 - For $d = 1 \Longrightarrow K$ homogeneous servers with rate μ .
 - For $d = K \Longrightarrow$ single server with rate μ .

The performance decreases in d: no longer maximum stable

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



• $a_{cis}(t)$ attained service of the *i*-th type-*c* job.

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



• $a_{cis}(t)$ attained service of the *i*-th type-*c* job.

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



a_{cis}(t) attained service of the *i*-th type-c job.
d_{a_{cis}(t)}/dt = 1/M_s(t).

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



- $a_{cis}(t)$ attained service of the *i*-th type-*c* job.
- $\frac{\mathrm{d}a_{cis}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{M_s(t)}.$
- A job leaves the system due to a departure in server $s_{ci}^*(t) = \arg \max_{s \in c} \{a_{cis}(t)\}.$

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



- $a_{cis}(t)$ attained service of the *i*-th type-*c* job.
- $\frac{\mathrm{d}a_{cis}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{M_s(t)}.$
- A job leaves the system due to a departure in server $s_{ci}^*(t) = \arg \max_{s \in c} \{a_{cis}(t)\}.$
- Departure rate of the *i*-th type-*c* job: $\frac{\mu}{M_{s_{ci}^{*}(t)}(t)}$.

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



• The drift of server s: $\frac{\mathrm{d}m_s}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda \frac{d}{K} - \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(s)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_c(t)} \frac{\mu}{M_{s_{ci}^*(t)}(t)}$.

Example: K = 3 and d = 2 copies, $C = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$



- The drift of server s: $\frac{\mathrm{d}m_s}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda \frac{d}{K} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(s)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_c(t)} \frac{\mu}{M_{s_{*i}^*(t)}(t)}$.
- When symmetric state $(M_1 = M_2 = M_3)$: $\frac{dm_s}{dt} = \lambda \frac{d}{K} \mu$ which can be strictly positive when $\lambda < \mu K$.

Theorem

Assume PS service policy and copies of a job to be identical copies. The system is stable $\iff \lambda < \mu \frac{K}{d}$.

Proof:

⇐=)

- Upper Bound $\vec{N}^{UP}(t)$: the system where all copies need to be served.
- $\vec{N}^{PS}(t) \leq_{st.} \vec{N}^{UP}(t)$
- $\vec{N}^{UP}(t)$ is stable iff $\lambda d < \mu K$

Theorem

Assume PS service policy and copies of a job to be identical copies. The system is stable $\iff \lambda < \mu \frac{K}{d}$.

Proof:

- \Longrightarrow)
 - Lower Bound *N*^{LB}(t): the departure rate of a job is determined by the capacity it gets at the server with the least number of copies: μ^μ/_{M_s*(t)} where s^{*}_c = arg min_{s∈S(c)}{M_s(t)}.
 - $\vec{N}^{PS}(t) \geq_{st.} \vec{N}^{LB}(t)$, since $\frac{\mu}{M_{s_c^*(t)}(t)} \leq \frac{\mu}{M_{s_c^*}(t)}$.
 - The fluid limit of $\vec{N}^{LB}(t)$ satisfies $\frac{\mathrm{d}m_{min}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda \frac{d}{K} \mu > 0$

Stability condition reduces at least to $\lambda < \mu(K - d + 1)$.



Theorem

Under FCFS service policy and identical copies the system is stable \Longleftrightarrow

$$\lambda < \tilde{\mu} = \sum_{i \in \tilde{S}} \tilde{\Pi}_i i \mu$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}_i$ is the fraction of time one sees departure rate $i\mu$ when the system is congested.

The solution of the congested system:

• *K* and d = K - 1, Stability condition: $\lambda < 2\mu$.

The solution of the congested system:

 K and d = K - 1, Stability condition: λ < 2μ. Example: K = 3 and d = 2



The solution of the congested system:

- K and d = K 1, Stability condition: $\lambda < 2\mu$.
- For general K and d is hard to characterize.

The solution of the congested system:

• K and d = K - 1, Stability condition: $\lambda < 2\mu$.

• For general K and d is hard to characterize.

Example: K = 4 and d = 2.



The solution of the congested system:

• K and d = K - 1, Stability condition: $\lambda < 2\mu$.

• For general K and d is hard to characterize.

Example: K = 4 and d = 2.



The solution of the congested system:

- K and d = K 1, Stability condition: $\lambda < 2\mu$.
- For general K and d is hard to characterize. Example: K = 4 and d = 2. The steady-state equations are:

$$2\mu\pi(O_2, n, O_1) = \mu\pi(O_2, n+1, O_1) + \mu \sum_{j=0}^{n} (\frac{1}{6})^{j+1}\pi(O_2, n-j, O_1) +\mu \sum_{s=1}^{4} (\frac{1}{3})^n \pi(O_2, n, O_1, 0, O_s) + \mu (\frac{1}{6})^{n+1} \pi(O_1, 0, O_2) +\mu \sum_{s=1}^{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mu (\frac{1}{3})^j \pi(O_2, j, O_s, n-j, O_1)$$

$$\begin{split} & 3\mu\pi(O_3, m, O_2, n, O_1) = \mu\pi(O_3, m, O_2, n+1, O_1) \\ & +\mu\sum_{s=1,2}\sum_{j=0}^{n} (\frac{1}{3})^{j+1}\pi(O_3, m+j+1, O_s, n-j, O_1) \\ & +\mu\sum_{s=1}^{3}\sum_{j=0}^{m} (\frac{3}{6})^j \frac{1}{6}(O_s, m-j, O_2, n, O_1) \\ & +\mu(\frac{1}{3})^n (\frac{3}{6})^m \frac{1}{6}\sum_{s=1,2}\pi(O_2, n, O_1, 0, O_s) \\ & +\mu(\frac{1}{3})^{n+1}\sum_{s=1,2}\pi(O_3, m+n+1, O_1, 0, O_s) \\ & +\mu\sum_{s=1,2}\sum_{j=0}^{n} (\frac{1}{3})^j (\frac{3}{6})^m \frac{1}{6}\pi(O_2, j, O_s, n-j, O_1) \\ & +\mu\sum_{j=0}^{n} (\frac{1}{6})^{j+2} (\frac{3}{6})^m (O, n-j, O_1) \\ & +\mu(\frac{1}{6})^{n+2} (\frac{3}{6})^m \pi(O_1, 0, \tilde{O}), \end{split}$$

At a fluid scale,

P(a job is served simultaneously in more than one server) $\rightarrow 0$.

Theorem

Under ROS service policy and identical copies assumption, the system is stable $\Longleftrightarrow \lambda < \mu {\rm K}$

Proof:

• Show that fluid limit satisfies $\frac{\mathrm{d}m_{\max}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq \lambda \frac{d}{K} - \mu d$

Table: Summary of stability conditions

	PS	FCFS	ROS	Priority policy
i.i.d	$\lambda < \mu K$	$\lambda < \mu K$	$\lambda < \mu K$	$\lambda << \mu K$
i.c.	$\lambda < \mu \frac{K}{d}$	$\lambda < ilde{\mu}$	$\lambda < \mu K$	-
		$(ilde{\mu} < \mu(K - (d-1)))$		

Simulations for the mean number of jobs

Mean number of jobs with identical copies and K = 5.



Simulations for the mean number of jobs

Mean number of jobs with identical copies and K = 5.



Simulations for the mean number of jobs

Mean number of jobs with identical copies and K = 5.



LT approximation for FCFS with identical copies

Relative mean response time under low load of λ .



 $\mathbb{E}(D^{LT,FCFS}) = \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{3\lambda}{2\mu^2} \frac{1}{\binom{K}{d}},$ min $\mathbb{E}(D^{LT,FCFS})$ when $d^* = \arg \max_d \{\binom{K}{d}\} = 2.$

Homogeneous servers for FCFS with identical copies



Heterogeneous speed servers for FCFS with identical copies

$$K = 3$$
 and $\mu = (1, 4, 8)$



- Redundancy systems under iid assumption:
 - FCFS, PS and ROS are maximum stable.
 - Priority queues lose stability.
- Redundancy system under identical copies assumption: Stability condition strongly depends on the scheduling policy.
- Heterogeneous servers can improve stability.

• Redundancy systems under iid assumption: Analyse sufficient conditions for which the system is maximum stable.

- Redundancy systems under iid assumption: Analyse sufficient conditions for which the system is maximum stable.
- Redundancy system under identical copies assumption: Characterize the stability condition when variable servers: heterogeneous speed servers, S&X model,...

Thank you!